12

13

15

17

18

19

20

22

23

26

27

1		
2		
3	UNITED STA	TES DISTRICT COURT
4	Northern	District of California
5		
6	GROSS MORTGAGE CORP.,	No. C 12-1102 MEJ
7	Plaintiff,	ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE
8	V.	
9	SABIR JAMIL AL-MANSUR,	
	Defendant.	
10	-	/
11		

On March 6, 2012, Defendant Sabir Jamil Al-Mansur removed this unlawful detainer action from Alameda County Superior Court. However, an unlawful detainer action does not arise under federal law but is purely a creature of California law. Wells Fargo Bank v. Lapeen, 2011 WL 2194117, at *3 (N.D. Cal. June 6, 2011); Wescom Credit Union v. Dudley, 2010 WL 4916578, at *2 (C.D. Cal. Nov. 22, 2010). Thus, it appears that jurisdiction is lacking and the case should be remanded to state court. Accordingly, the Court ORDERS Defendant Sabir Jamil Al-Mansur to show cause why this case should not be remanded to the Alameda County Superior Court. Defendant shall file a declaration by March 22, 2012, and the Court shall conduct a hearing on April 5, 2012 at 10:00 a.m. in Courtroom B, 15th Floor, 450 Golden Gate Avenue, San Francisco, California. In his declaration, Defendant must address how this Court has jurisdiction over Plaintiff's unlawful detainer claim.

Defendant should be mindful that an anticipated federal defense or counterclaim is not sufficient to confer jurisdiction. Franchise Tax Bd. of California v. Construction Laborers Vacation Trust, 463 U.S. 1, 10 (1983); Berg v. Leason, 32 F.3d 422, 426 (9th Cir.1994). "A case may not be removed to federal court on the basis of a federal defense, ... even if the defense is anticipated in the plaintiff's complaint, and even if both parties admit that the defense is the only question truly at issue in the case." ARCO Environmental Remediation, LLC v. Dept. of Health and Environmental Quality

Case3:12-cv-01102-MEJ Document6 Filed03/12/12 Page2 of 3

J
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

25

26

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT For the Northern District of California

1	of the State of Montana, 213 F.3d 1108, 1113 (9th Cir. 2000); see also Valles v. Ivy Hill Corp., 410
2	F.3d 1071, 1075 (9th Cir. 2005) ("A federal law defense to a state-law claim does not confer
3	jurisdiction on a federal court, even if the defense is that of federal preemption and is anticipated in
4	the plaintiff's complaint."). Thus, any anticipated defense, such as a claim under the Protecting
5	Tenants at Foreclosure Act ("PTFA"), Pub.L. No. 111-22, § 702, 123 Stat. 1632 (2009), is not a valid
6	ground for removal. See e.g. Aurora Loan Services, LLC v. Montoya, 2011 WL 5508926, at *4
7	(E.D.Cal. Nov. 9, 2011); SD Coastline LP v. Buck, 2010 WL 4809661, at *2–3 (S.D.Cal. Nov.19,
8	2010); Wescom Credit Union v. Dudley, 2010 WL 4916578, at 2–3 (C.D.Cal. Nov. 22, 2010); Aurora
9	Loan Services, LLC v. Martinez, 2010 WL 1266887, at * 1 (N.D.Cal. March 29, 2010).
0	IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: March 12, 2012

Maria-Elena James Chief United States Magistrate Judge

Case3:12-cv-01102-MEJ Document6 Filed03/12/12 Page3 of 3 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 3 GROSS MORTGAGE CORP., 4 Case Number: CV12-1102 MEJ 5 Plaintiff, **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** v. 6 SABIR JAMIL AL-MANSUR, 7 Defendant. 8 9 I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. District Court, Northern District of California. 11 That on March 12, 2012, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the attached, by placing said copy(ies) in a postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) hereinafter listed, by depositing said envelope in the U.S. Mail, or by placing said copy(ies) into an inter-office delivery receptacle located in the Clerk's office. 13 14 Sabir Jamil Al-Smansur 2419 Market Street 15 Oakland, CA 94607 16 17 Dated: March 12, 2012 18 Richard W. Wieking, Clerk By: Brenda Tolbert, Deputy Clerk 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 3